



Concept Development in Learning Physics: The Case of electrical Current and Voltage Re- evaluated

Sharayu Mahesh Kadam

J. V. M.'s Degree College, Airoli, sharayu.kadam@jnanvikasmandal.com

Abstract: In learning theoretical ideas in physics, a mutual downside is that the development and differentiation ideas within the knowledge method. A very important part of this development method is that the re-organisation or re-structuring method within which students' abstract data and ideas amendment. This study proposes a brand new read of conception development with specific attention given to conception development from the extent of knowledge-as-pieces to the extent of knowledge-as theory. The projected new image relies on the read that ideas square measure complicated constructs basically embedded during a larger system of data. 3 closely connected aspects need our attention: 1) conceptions of ideas, 2) conceptions of data systems, and eventually, 3) conceptions of the method of amendment. The potential blessings of this prospective square measure incontestable through the re-analysis of the conception development within the well-known case of electrical current and voltage. The results show that within the conception development method, each causative data and coherence of the data system play crucial roles. Finally, the study points out however the theoretical position projected here directly impacts conceptions of learning and instruction likewise as what solutions square measure hunted for issues in learning – or maybe what's thought-about a drag or success in learning.

Index Terms: Conception of ideas, conception of data systems, electrical phenomenon and voltage, Knowledge as theory views, Knowledge as element views

I. INTRODUCTION

Conceptual amendment, and conception development as a vicinity of it, has been delineate from several various viewpoints. At one finish lies the read that intuitive data is theory-like and “coherent” therein it provides explanations and predictions so, a minimum of to a point, Associate in Nursing organized abstract system seems to be behind them Such data is taken into account coherent enough to advantage the name “knowledge-as-theory” this study aims to propose a brand new manner of synthesising totally different views with specific

attention to conception development and conception differentiation, so the synthesis covers conception learning at the extent of knowledge-as-pieces whereas additionally reaching the extent of knowledge-as-theory. The training method additionally needs a drive or mechanism; this study suggests that the coherence of the data system provides simply such a mechanism. (Brown, D. E., & Hammer, D., 2008; Chi, M.T.H., & Brem, S.K., 2009).

A. Concept Development and Abstract Modification

Concept development is at the core of abstract amendment in students' learning. 3 closely connected aspects emerge and need our attention: 1) conceptions of ideas, 2) conceptions of data systems, and eventually, 3) conception ions of the method of amendment. This study focuses on concept development rather than abstract amendment. The foremost vital of that square measure “knowledge-as theory” and “knowledge-as-system”, which incorporates aspects of each “theory” and “elements (Chi, M. T. H., & Slotta, J. D., 1993; Cohen, R., Eylon, B., & Ganiel, U., 1983; Duit, R., & Treagust, D.F., 2003).

B. Knowledge-as-Theory Views

Many researchers have approached abstract amendment from a viewpoint that assumes students' intuitive data is theory-like therein it forms “a coherent whole, a framework of theory the essential assumption is that students possess a “coherent instructive system”. s. as an example, development is considered as a cloth substance or substance-like entity instead of a method like phenomenon. The strength of the metaphysics shift theory is that it connects directly with the feature of ideas that associate with bound typical attributes or predicates connected, as an example, to entity- or process-like classes. (Chi, M. T. H., 2005; Engelhardt, P.V., & Beichner, R.J., 2004); Gopnik, A., & Meltzoff, A.N., 1997; Gupta, A., Hammer, D., & Redish, E. F. 2010; Henderson, L., et al., 2010; Wisner, M., & Amin, T. G., 2001).

C. Knowledge-as-Elements Views

Contrary to the “knowledge-as-theory” views, several researchers have claimed that novices’ data is fragmented and consists of loosely connected items, that square measure usually used with very little co-ordination. Such views square measure so five cited as “knowledge-as-elements” views within the data as-elements views, a data system lacks the coherence and cohesion required to recognise and forestall the employment of terribly totally different and even conflicting parts of data. (Chi, M. T. H., & Brem, S. K., 2009; Koumaras, P., et al., 1997).

II. A BRAND NEW SYNTHESIS OF CONCEPTION DEVELOPMENT IN LEARNING

This study presents a brand new read of conception development in learning. The new read incorporates aspects from the “knowledge-as-elements” likewise as from the “knowledge-as theory” views, and provides a dynamic image of however data in smaller and incoherent items develops toward additional coherent structures. (Cohen, R., Eylon, B., & Ganiel, U., 1983; Lee, Y., & Law, N., 2001).

A. Conception of Ideas

Views of abstract amendment and conception development rely heavily on the question of what ideas square measure. within the philosophy of science, ideas square measure unremarkably approached from the perspective of “concepts as capacities for having propositional attitudes” within the concepts-as-prototypes read, the epitome of an explicit category to that the conception refers is known as a body of data of the properties of the members of that category. The role of causative data is additionally recognized within the knowledge-as-elements views, wherever it plays a central role in a minimum of in 2 ways. (Duit, R., & Treagust, D. F., 2003; Chi, M.T.H., & Slotta, J.D., 1993; Chi, M.T.H., 2005; Chi, M.T.H., 2008).

B. Conception of the Data System

The abstract system may be seen as a network within which parts of the network square measure “pieces of knowledge”. The identification of connections, and whether or not they square measure negative or positive, should be supported empirical proof. (Engelhardt, P. V., & Beichner, R. J., 2004; Ohlsson, S. 2009).

C. A brand-new View: Abstract Constructs

The abstract systems they kind, and of the role of coherence in dynamical the system, it's currently attainable to propose an outline of conception development in learning. the essential parts of the model square measure 3 differing kinds of abstract constructs: concept-constructs (c-constructs), determination-constructs (deconstructs) and hypothesis-constructs (h-

constructs) – all of that square measure connected. h-constructs square measure compared on to empirical or experimental proof. C-constructs square measure seen here from the “heterogeneity perspective” (Machery, 2009) to the extent that the role of causative data as in concepts-as-theory reads and also the epitome view, square measure each thought-about equally vital, however totally different aspects of ideas. D-constructs square measure general schemes that relate c-constructs to every alternative, generally within the sort of causative connections. These constructs square measure thus basically the carriers of causative info and causative data. (Gopnik, A., & Meltzoff, A. N., 1997; Reiner, M., et al., 2000).

III. EMPIRICAL EMBODIMENT: ELECTRICAL PHENOMENON AND VOLTAGE

Research on students’ alternate conceptions or misconceptions of the ideas of current and voltage has discovered many conceptions and, most significantly, has shown that the ideas of electrical current and voltage square measure poorly differentiated. the aim of this work is to produce a brand-new theoretical framework to debate aspects encountered during a explicit scenario wherever closely connected ideas square measure learned and apparent abstract development takes place, so sanctioning learners’ conceptions to require on a scientific character and start to make a coherent basis for explanations and predictions (Henderson, L., et al., 2010; McDermott, L. C., & Shaffer, P. S. 1992; Rehder, B., 2003; Dordrecht: Kluwer Vosniadou, S., Vamvakoussi, X., & Skopeliti, I. 2008).

A. The Replication Study

The replication was disbursed within the sort of cluster interviews, wherever six teams (three students during a group) explored the behaviour of real DC circuits. The interviewees were upper-secondary faculty students (age 17-18) WHO had studied physics for 2 years (the level corresponds just about to algebra-based school physics within the US). 9 cases of individual students were elite as a result of they were informative and provided enough details for in-depth analysis. The interviews were disbursed severally from the students’ normal categories, once they'd received instruction on DC circuits. Interviews were planned so solely those ideas notable to the scholars were mentioned in interviews. Throughout the interviews, the scholars had access to bulbs and batteries to make DC circuits, likewise on a multimeter to form measurements. Originally, the study aimed to check the extent to those notable different conceptions may be found among upper-secondary high school students WHO had already completed a course on eleven electricity and DC circuits.

Cases I-III, explored within the replication study, enclosed easy DC circuits with bulbs connected serial (I), in parallel (II), and in (III), series and parallel circuits of I and II were compared. In every case, 2 variants were introduced thus on

produce roughly 2 totally different sets of proof. All six differing kinds of proof square measure referred as Associate in Nursing proof set. Thus, the proof set provided by Cases I-III square measure as follows: I: lightweight bulbs serial. square measure compared in terms of the brightness of the bulbs. This comparison produces proof e1 and e1'. II: lightweight bulbs in parallel. the primary variant is once more the one bulb. The second variant is 2 bulbs in parallel. scrutiny the 2 variants yields proof e2 and e2'. III: Comparison of the brightness of sunshine bulbs serial (I) and in parallel (II). within the initial variant, the brightness of bulbs serial and parallel circuits is compared to the one-bulb case solely. within the second variant, series and parallel cases square measure compared to every alternative. This produces proof e3 and e3'.

In all of cases I-III, students were asked to predict the order of brightness of the bulbs victimisation tutorial-type tasks (McDermott & Shaffer, 1992). The queries asked were: a) once Associate in Nursing another bulb is supplemental after/next to 1 bulb, what happens to the brightness of the bulb? However will the brightness of the new bulb compare there to of the primary bulb. b) Describe what happens to the present in bound branches/parts of the circuit. All told cases students were able to create measurements of real circuits to check their predictions. Once the predictions, the scholars were shown the behaviour of the particular circuit and offered the possibility to change and to explore the circuit themselves. Students had a chance to discussion. (Lee, Y., & Law, N., 2001; Shipstone, D. M. 1984; Slotta, J. D., 2011).

IV. MODELING CONCEPTION DEVELOPMENT: ELECTRICAL PHENOMENON AND VOLTAGE

The empirical results will currently be delineated and understood among the projected theoretical framework. The new perspective shows however, even in a complicated stage of abstract development, abstract data will carry with its tiny parts of data that square measure somewhat loosely connected and lack coherence. To model conception learning, we will introduce illustration inherent within the varied aspects of relative structure; a network structure supported node-link-node parts (dyadic elements). Here we tend to limit the discussion to a principally qualitative level, though on a network basis, one will turn out a completely estimable model. (Lehrer, K., 1990; Smith, C., Carey, S., & Wiser, M. 1985; Vosniadou, S. 2002).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The main new contribution of this study is its new description and interpretation of conception development. The manner the ideas acquire their which means involves several aspects from each the prototype- and theory-conception of ideas. On this basis, empirical findings for the event of ideas within the case of electrical current and voltage square measure re-interpreted and also the development method is modelled victimisation the new

theoretical background. The empirical knowledge carries with it 9 cases (individual students) from the students' cluster interviews regarding the behavior of electrical energy (DC) circuits.

The results and their theoretical interpretation show that within the students' initial learning and abstract development, once students square measure assigned to easy learning tasks, several items of students' initial data work along poorly or loosely, which means that the items square measure fragmented and incoherent. This finding is in agreement with knowledge-as parts conceptions. Withal, once things become additional complicated and opportunities arise to match the credibility and mutual support/non-support of a hypothesis, students' re-structure and re-organise their data and additional coherent clusters of data begin to emerge. Such a re-structuring or re-organisation is powerfully target-hunting by causative data. This later stage of learning assigned to additional complicated tasks involves several similar options, because the knowledge-as-theory views counsel, notably in relevance the role of the coherence and causative knowledge.

As the gift study reveals, metaphysics shift is clearly a vicinity of the conception development method. The theoretical analysis conferred here shows that the attributes, that characterise the conception constructs, play an important role within the learning method, and changes in however attributes square measure related to the conception constructs square measure embedded during a complicated manner within the data system as a full. Most significantly, changes in attributes square measure terribly closely connected to the employment of causative schemes within the data system. (Machery, E., 2009; Özdemir, G., & Clark, D. B., 2007).

REFERENCES

- BonJour, L., (1985). *The Structure of Empirical Knowledge*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Brown, D.E., & Hammer, D. (2008). *Conceptual Change in Physics*. In S. Vosniadou (Ed.), *International Handbook of Research on Conceptual Change* (pp. 127–154.) New York: Routledge
- Carey, S. (2010). *The Origin of Concepts*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Chi, M.T.H., & Slotta, J.D., (1993). The Ontological Coherence of Intuitive Physics. *Cognition and -+Instruction*, 10, 249-260.
- Chi, M.T.H., (2005). Common sense Conceptions of Emergent Processes: Why Some Misconceptions Are Robust. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 14, 161-199.
- Chi, M.T.H. (2008). Three Types of Conceptual Change: Belief Revision, Mental Model Transformation, and Categorical Shift. In S. Vosniadou (Ed.), *International Handbook of Research on Conceptual Change* (pp. 35–60). New York: Routledge,

- Chi, M.T.H., & Brem, S.K., (2009). Contrasting Ohlsson's Resubsumption Theory with Chi's Categorical Shift Theory'. *Educational Psychologist*, 44, 58 — 63.
- Cohen, R., Eylon, B., & Ganiel, U. (1983). Potential Difference and Current in Simple Electric Circuits: A Study of Students' Concepts. *American Journal of Physics*, 51, 407-412.
- Duit, R., & Treagust, D.F. (2003). Conceptual Change: A Powerful Framework for Improving Science Teaching and Learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25, 671-688.
- Engelhardt, P.V., & Beichner, R.J. (2004). Students' Understanding of Direct Current Resistive Electrical Circuits. *American Journal of Physics*, 72, 98-115.
- Gopnik, A., & Meltzoff, A.N. (1997). Words, Thoughts, and Theories. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gupta, A., Hammer, D., & Redish, E. F. (2010). The Case for Dynamic Models of Learners' Ontologies in Physics. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 19, 285-321.
- Henderson, L., Goodman, N.D., Tenenbaum, J.B., & Woodward, J.F. (2010). The Structure and Dynamics of Scientific Theories: A Hierarchical Bayesian Perspective. *Philosophy of Science*, 77, 172-200.
- Keil, F.C. (1989). Concepts, Kinds and Conceptual Development. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Koumaras, P., Kariotoglou, P., & Psillos, D. (1997). Causal Structures and Counter-intuitive Experiments in Electricity. *International Journal of Science Education*, 19, 617-630.
- Lee, Y., & Law, N. (2001). Explorations in Promoting Conceptual Change in Electrical Concepts via Ontological Category Shift. *International Journal of Science Education*, 23, 111- 149.
- Lehrer, K. (1990). Theory of Knowledge. Routledge, London.
- Limon, M., & Mason, L. (2002). (Eds), Reconsidering Conceptual Change: Issues in Theory and Practice. *Dordrecht: Kluwer*.
- Machery, E. (2009). Doing without Concepts. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McDermott, L. C., & Shaffer, P. S. (1992). Research as a Guide for Curriculum Development: An Example from Introductory Electricity. Part I: Investigation of Student Understanding. *American Journal of Physics*, 60, 994-1003.
- Murphy, G. L. (2004). The Big Book of Concepts. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ohlsson, S. (2009). Resubsumption: A Possible Mechanism for Conceptual Change and Belief Revision. *Educational Psychologist*, 44, 20-40.
- Ohm, G. S. (1827). Die Galvanische Kette, Riemann, Berlin
- Pearl, J. (2009). Causality: Models, Reasoning, and Inference (2nd ed) Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Peacocke, C. (1992). A Study of Concepts. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Rehder, B. (2003). Categorization as Causal Reasoning. *Cognitive Science*, 27, 709-748.
- Reiner, M., Slotta, J. D., Chi, M. T. H., & Resnick, L. B. (2000). Naive Physics Reasoning: A Commitment to Substance Based Reasoning. *Cognition and Instruction*, 18, 1-34.
- Shipstone, D. M. (1984). A Study of Children' s Understanding of Electricity in Simple DC Circuits. *European Journal of Science Education*, 6, 185- 198.
- Slotta, J. D. (2011). In Defense of Chi's Ontological Incompatibility Hypothesis. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 20, 151-162.
- Smith, C., Carey, S., & Wiser, M. (1985). On differentiation: A Case Study of the Development of the Concept of Size, Weight and Density. *Cognition*, 21, 177-237.
- Smith, E. E., & Medin, D. L. (1981). Categories and Concepts. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.
- Slotta, J. D., & Chi, M. T. H. (2006). Helping Students Understand Challenging Topics in Science Through Ontology Training. *Cognition and Instruction*, 24, 261- 289.
- Thagard, P. (1988). Computational Philosophy of Science. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Thagard, P. (1992). Conceptual Revolutions. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Thagard, P. (2000). Coherence in Thought and Action. Cambridge MA: MIT Press.
- Özdemir, G., & Clark, D. B. (2007). An Overview of Conceptual Change Theories. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 3, 351-361.
- Vosniadou, S. (2002). On The Nature of Naive Physics. In M. Limon and L. Mason (Eds.), *Reconsidering Conceptual Change: Issues in Theory and Practice* (pp 61-76). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Vosniadou, S., Vamvakoussi, X., & Skopeliti, I. (2008). The Framework Theory Approach to the Problem of Conceptual Change. In S. Vosniadou (Ed), *International Handbook of Research on Conceptual Change* (pp. 3-34). New York: Routledge..
- Wiser, M., & Amin, T. G. (2001). "Is Heat Hot?" Inducing Conceptual Change by Integrating Everyday and Scientific Perspectives on Thermal Phenomena. *Learning & Instruction*, 11, 331- 355.
- Woodward, J. (2003). Making Things Happen: A Theory of Causal Explanation. New York: Oxford University Press.
